

# Missouri NRCS CNMP Inventory Worksheet

Landowner	/Operato	r:						Date:					
Farm:							Intervi	ewer:					
Township:					Cour	ity:							
Location:		1/4 of		1/4 Section		Т		N	,	R	T	□Е	$\square$ W
Lat:		N	Lon:		W	U	ГМ:			N			Е
	Site Asse Before V Include a	essment /isit: Prir soil map	(AFO sind a land	using the Misso te) at http:// http rial photo for ref map unit key for p for each livest	://ims.m erence each li	niss dur ves	ouri.edu ring site tock pro	/afosite/. visit. duction s					
			Produ	ction Facility	/ Site	Sk	etch/D	ata					
		bstitute ar	n enlarge	d farmstead aeria contaminant sourc	l photog	rapl	h to locat	e listed co					
Show, if applie	cable:											_	
barns animal lots cattle lanes											1	North	
manure storage													
reception tanks manure pipelines manure stacking p	pads												
milking center and wastewater discha													
wells													
silage bunkers, ba leachate collection silos	U												
streams (perennia and intermitten lakes, ponds wetlands surface water rund (path, flow dire- emergency cor	off ction,												
practices (exist/pla	anned)												
karst features													
roads, lanes, fence property lines utilities, mortality storage s		Note: F	<sup>∓</sup> acility bio	esecurity procedures	must be	follo	owed upon	entry to th	e fa	arm.			

April 2008 Page 1 of 8

## **Introduction, Emergency Plan, and General Farm Information**

1. Enter as much information as possible on the following **CNMP Templates:** 

Name/Address:	Contact info:
Jame/Address:	Contact info:
SA Tract Nos.:	FSA Farm Nos.:
Emergency Response Plan:	
Emergency procedure:	Contact:
Equipment needed:	Location:
Emergency procedure:	Contact:
Equipment needed:	Location:
iectives for the Enterprise:	

April 2008 Page 2 of 8

☐ Same as La	ndowner/Op	erator						
Township:				Cour	nty:		_	
Location:	1⁄4 of		1/4 Section		Т	N,	R	EW
Lat:	N	Lon:		W	UTM:		N	E
		Λ 10	imal Martali	ti. May				
		An	imal Mortali	ity war	iagemeni			
M 1 - 1 - 1 - 1								
Mortality Mana	gement Proc	<u>eaures</u>						
Describe the mo	rtality manageme	ent system	n including storage	treatment	components.			3
(Example: Incine	rator, 400 lb cha	rge fueled	by fuel oil, ash sto	orage, bin v	vith 366 days :	storage capac	city [400 ft	1°])
List of University	of Missouri Guid	e Sheets						
WQ 205 Compos	sting Poultry Card	casses		WQ	211 Composti	ng Layer Mor	talities	
	nimal Disposal La		ssouri		351 Composti			
Biosecurity Iss	<u>ues</u>							
Describe the cur	rent biosecurity p	rocedure	s in effect on each	livestock p	roduction facil	ity.		
Neighbor Issue	<u>:s</u>							
Are there neighb	ore located in ele	eo provin	nity to the operation	(osposial	v to the porthe	pact and pact	2	
Are there heighb	ors located in cio	ise proxim	illy to the operation	i (especial	y to the northe	asi anu easi,	·	
☐ Yes ☐	No							
Are there any high	ah public use are	as (parks.	, campgrounds, etc	.) located v	within 1/2 mile	(2500 feet) o	the prima	arv livestock
	y p a z o a c o a . o .	ao (parito)	,	.,		(2000 1001) 0		ary invocation
production site?								
	No							
production site?	No							
Yes ☐ What good neigh	nbor strategies ar		y in effect to minim			production fa	cilities imp	pact related to odor

April 2008 Page 3 of 8

#### **Conservation Plan (Land Treatment Practices)**

Do you have an up-to-date conservation plan for all cropland associated with the livestock production □Yes □No If an up-to-date conservation plan for all cropland associated with livestock operation exists: Document cropland tracts or parcels with a verified conservation plan on the Conservation Plan Certification Worksheet. Include planner name and dates of plan certification. Was the conservation plan developed to achieve tolerable soil loss? □Yes □No If "No" refer to Phosphorus Index guidance in the Nutrient-Specific Risk Assessment section on Page 7. Does the current plan accurately reflect tillage, crop rotations and residue management (including the removal of residue for silage or bedding)? □Yes □No Does the conservation plan identify the watershed that the farm is located within, document the condition of the watershed, and assess the potential impact of the farm on water quality? □Yes □No Impact: If an up-to-date conservation plan for all cropland associated with livestock operation DOES NOT exist: Document planned cropping system (rotation options) What is the typical acreage of each major crop that is grown on cropland associated with the primary livestock operation? Complete a RUSLE2 template for each group of fields with a similar management including crop rotation, tillage, and harvest methods. Does the conservation plan identify the watershed that the farm is located within, document the condition of the watershed, and assess the potential impact of the farm on water quality? □Yes □No Impact:

April 2008 Page 4 of 8

## **Manure Application Setbacks from Sensitive Features**

#### <u>Wells</u>

1. Identify any wells (including abandoned wells) located in crop fields or pastures in addition to those within the livestock production site.

Well ID or Description	Septic, Barnyard or Other Potential Contaminants (If <100')	Type of Well Construction	Depth and Condition of Casing	Placement of Well on Landscape (Surface Flow)	Concerns Identified by Any Water Tests				
2. Are these wells identified on spreading restriction maps?   Yes  No									
Notes:									
For all cropland assoc	ciated with the primary	livestock facility re	egardless of plan	n status, conduct	the following assessment:				
<ol> <li>Document on the aerial photo the location of any surface drains, concentrated flow channels, existing grassed waterways, and any areas of ephemeral/gully erosion.</li></ol>									
□Yes □	2. Are there known "conduits to groundwater" such as sinkholes, other karst features, abandoned wells or gravel pits?  Yes No (Locate on map)								
	<ol> <li>Are there buffer strips installed to protect adjoining surface and groundwater features?</li> <li>Yes No (Locate on map)</li> </ol>								
□Yes □	No (Locate on ma		into environmenta	ally sensitive areas	(wetlands, surface waters)?				
□Yes□	ds with tile drainage?  No e fields with tile, the loca	tions of known tile su	urface inlets, and	tile outlets.					

April 2008 Page 5 of 8

# Nutrient Management Plan

For all cropland as	ssociated with the primary livestock facility	y regardless of plan status, con	duct the following assessment:				
	to delineate field boundaries and determine to that the determine spreadable acres.	tal acreage. Identify any sensitive	features requiring a manure				
	collected and analyzed based on 20-acre subfootassium) recommendations based on Univer						
	rotation is provided for the planning period, w	, ,	determined:				
4. Manure sampl	_	खा.					
Crop year	Intended crop	Yield goal (YG)	Source of YG				
Orop year	mended crop	ricia goai (10)	COURCE OF TO				
	Nutrient-Specific	Risk Assessments					
During the CNMP of	uri Phosphorus Index (http://www.medevelopment process, planners should use the es. The results of this assessment and alternative and the results of the re	Missouri Phosphorus Index to as	sess the risk of loss of phosphorus				
<ul> <li>The current Missouri Phosphorus Index must be calculated for all acres receiving manure applications.</li> <li>If soil loss exceeds T, the current Missouri Phosphorus Index must be calculated for all phosphorus applications.</li> </ul>							
<b>Missouri Leaching Index</b> (http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/references/public/MO/Nitrate_Leaching_Index.pdf) During the CNMP development process, planners should use the Missouri Leaching Index to assess the risk of loss of soluble nutrients (especially nitrate) from application sites. The results of this assessment and alternatives for application should be discussed with the owner/operator.							
For acres coassessment	Missouri Leaching Index must be calculate ontained within an area with an identified of the must be performed. See the current Missons of affected areas and actions to be take	or designated water quality impa ouri Nutrient Management (590)	airment, the Leaching Index conservation practice standard				

April 2008 Page 6 of 8

## **Manure and Waste Water Handling**

**Data for Estimating Manure Production** 

Animal type (Management group)	Number (total head)	Avg. weight (per head)	Bedding type	Bedding vol. (per day)	Confinement days	Storage ID	Manure collected (%)

**Concentrated Livestock Area Characteristics** 

Feed lot ID	Animal		Lot size (sq. ft)	Tributary areas (type and size)	Cleaning interval	Lot surface type(s)
	Туре	Number				

**Wastewater Production Data/Estimates (Extra Water)** 

Total MEASURED wastewater prod	ductions (if av	ailable):		gal/day	
OR estimate as follows:					
Parlor/Milkhouse Water:					
Cleaning parlor floors, cows, milkers		gal/day	Plate cooler water		gal/day
Cleaning bulk tank and pipelines		gal/day			
Area Cleaning:					
Flushed area		gal/day	Non-flushed area		gal/day
Sprinklers/Misters:					
Sprinkler use		days/yr	Barn sprinkler use		days/yr
Sprinkler rate		Gal/day	Barn sprinkler rate		gal/day
Describe current disposal methods:					
Describe potential resource concerns:					

April 2008 Page 7 of 8

**Transfer System** Reception Size/Volume Type of Pipeline/Flume Storage Meets Comment Tank/Transfer Transfer Type and Size 634<sup>†</sup> of Reception ID Tank Pump or (Y/N?) Gravity Was the manure transfer system designed and constructed in accordance with the MO NRCS standards in place at the time of construction? ☐Yes ☐No **Storage Facilities** Storage ID Size/Volume of Transfer ID Type of Liner Meets Length 313<sup>†</sup> Storage Unit (days) (Y/N?) Was the manure transfer system designed and constructed in accordance with the MO NRCS standards in place at the time of construction? ☐Yes ☐No Identify any safety issues associated with transfer or storage (examples: confined spaces, safety fences, warning signs, push-off ramps): Storage / Transfer ID Description

#### **Operation and Maintenance**

If available, obtain a copy of existing operation and maintenance plan for collection, storage, treatment, and transfer of manure and wastewater; including associated equipment, facilities, and structures.

April 2008 Page 8 of 8